IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Cr.Misc. No.37489 of 2008
RAJESH SAHANI @ RAKESH SAHANI son of Chhotelal Sahni
Resident of village- Talhua, P.S.- Nautan
District- West Champaran
Versus
STATE OF BIHAR
---

2 **28.11.2008** Heard learned counsel for the petitioner and the State.

Petitioner is an accused in a case registered for the offences under sections 489(B), 489(C)/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

It has been submitted on behalf of the petitioner that neither there is any allegation against the petitioner in the first information report nor the named accused persons have whispered anything implicating the petitioner. It is further submitted that the petitioner has falsely been implicated in this case during course of investigation. It is also submitted that the petitioner has got clean antecedent.

WEB COPY NOT OFFICIAL

Learned Addl. P.P. appearing for the State opposes the prayer for bail and submits that during the course of investigation witnesses have stated about the complicity of this petitioner besides the informant in his statement in different paragraphs of the case diary.

Considering the facts and circumstances of the case specially the nature of allegation levelled against the petitioner, I am not inclined to grant him the privilege of anticipatory bail. His prayer for grant of anticipatory bail is, accordingly, rejected.

Manish/- **(Shailesh Kumar Sinha,J.)**